

## CHIPPENHAM COMMUNITY AREA TRANSPORT GROUP ACTION TRACKER/ NOTES LOG

27<sup>th</sup> FEBRUARY 2018

	Item	Update	Actions & Recommendations	Priority 1= High 2=Low	Who
1.	<b>Attendees, Apologies &amp; Introductions</b>				
	<b>Present</b>	Councillor Maurice Dixson (MD) Councillor Ross Henning (RH) Councillor Ashley O'Neill (AO) Councillor Bill Douglas (BD) Councillor Nick Murry (NM) Councillor John Scragg (JS) Councillor Angela Williams (AW) Councillor Lesley Palmer (LPa) Martin Rose (MR) Paul Bollen (PB) Diane Ware (DW) Spencer Drinkwater (SD) Victoria Welsh (VW)			<b>All</b>
	<b>Apologies</b>	Adrian Jones (AJ)			
	<b>Observers</b>	Alistair Parker (AP)			

	Item	Update	Actions & Recommendations	Priority 1= High 2=Low	Who
2.	<b>Notes of the last meeting (10<sup>th</sup> October 2017)</b>				
		<p>The recommendations contained in the Note Tracker from the Chippenham CATG meeting held on 10–Oct-17 were agreed at the Chippenham Area Board meeting on 6-Nov-17</p> <p>Actions from 10-Oct-17:</p> <p><b>How are large events e.g.: runs and cycle rides publicised?</b></p> <p>It would greatly assist parishes to be informed of events that will impact upon local roads</p> <p>MR was asked to investigate; he has been advised as follows: For major events Kevin Oliver is the Council's Events Officer. Where events impact on the local highway network it is the role of the traffic manager to manage this. This includes 'closed roads' events and affected town and parish councils would be a statutory consultee. For 'open road' events there is no requirement to inform town and parish council.</p> <p><b>Papers on MOD GOV</b></p> <p>Democratic Services confirm:</p> <p>Councillors may access papers via Wiltshire Private on the Mod.Gov app on their iPad and they can subscribe to Chippenham CATG, it is password protected as an internal document, their password will be the one they use to access Part 2/confidential papers, we can re-set this if they are not sure what it is.</p>	Noted by Chippenham CATG		

	Item	Update	Actions & Recommendations	Priority 1= High 2=Low	Who
3.	<b>Financial Position</b>				
		Financial position at 31-Jan-18: 2017-18 allocation = £18,083 2016-17 underspend = £12,942 Current Balance = <b>£1838.73</b> <i>See Appendix 1</i> Budget for 2018/19 not yet confirmed	<b>CATG recommendation – Chippenham Area Board to note the financial position</b>		MR
4.	<b>Annual Dropped Kerbs Exercise</b>				
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Dropped kerbs requests are considered once a year in June to maximise the budget</li> <li>• Dropped Kerb requests must demonstrate benefit to community, requests from individuals will not be considered</li> <li>• Town/Parish Councils to contribute 40% of the cost in their area. Indication of costs: <a href="#">A Guide to the Cost of Highways Works</a></li> <li>• CATG feel that new developments should include dropped kerbs to avoid remedial work at a later date</li> <li>• CATG agreed:               <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Proposed budget of £4,500 for dropped kerbs in 2018/19</li> <li>○ Level of contribution from Town/Parish to increase to 40%</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	<b>CATG recommendation – Chippenham Area Board allocate £4,500 to dropped kerbs exercise for 2018/19 and the level of contribution from Town &amp; Parish Councils is increased to 40%</b>		

	Item	Update	Actions & Recommendations	Priority 1= High 2=Low	Who
5.	<b>Freight Assessment and Priority Mechanism (FAPM) exercise</b>				
	<b>Standing item for update</b>	<p>Sites agreed by Chippenham Area Board <a href="#">13<sup>th</sup> March 2017</a></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Malmesbury Road, Chippenham</li> <li>• Hill Corner Road, Chippenham</li> </ul> <p>SD provided update:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• This exercise has been delayed due to the elections. It is likely that a decision will now be made on freight in Aug/Sept 2017.</li> <li>• Metro Counts undertaken. Assessments/analysis now in progress.</li> <li>• SD advised that neither of the sites submitted by Chippenham would be taken forward as there were other higher priorities within the county.</li> <li>• SD advised that CATG can consider submitting new sites for 2018/19 at the next CATG meeting.</li> </ul>	<p><b>CATG recommendation – Chippenham Area Board to note the update.</b></p> <p><b>SD to lead discussion on this topic at the next CATG meeting</b></p>	N/A	SD

	Item	Update	Actions & Recommendations	Priority 1= High 2=Low	Who
6.	<b>Major Maintenance in 2017/18</b>				
	<b>Standing item for update</b>	<p>Sites agreed by Chippenham Area Board <a href="#">13<sup>th</sup> March 2017</a></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Ford to Slaughterford</li> <li>○ Leigh Deli South</li> <li>○ Ladyfield Rd</li> <li>○ Foghamshire</li> <li>○ C173 Nettleton</li> <li>○ Derby Close</li> <li>○ Grittleton Dead Hill - completed</li> <li>○ Ham Lane Biddestone</li> <li>○ Cuttle Lane to Giddea Hall</li> <li>○ Ashes Lane Biddestone</li> <li>○ Days Lane A350</li> <li>○ Brook St</li> <li>○ Thornhill Lane, Langley Burrell</li> <li>○ Charter Road, Chippenham</li> </ul> <p>PB &amp; DW provided update:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● Extensive gas works are scheduled in New Road in June/July</li> <li>● Marshfield Road, Park Lane and New Road to be resurfaced after completion of gas works in August 2018</li> <li>● Days Lane –the lane will be patched in readiness for the Surface Dressing in the spring.</li> <li>● DW to review all roads</li> <li>● NM asked whether cycle route could be considered on New Road when it is resurfaced. Highways Officers advised that a budget would need to be identified</li> </ul>	<p><b>CATG recommendation – Chippenham Area Board to note the update.</b></p> <p><b>DW &amp; PB to provide future updates for CATG</b></p> <p><b>NM to follow up suggestion for cycle route with SD and the Transport &amp; Development Team</b></p>	N/A	DW & PB

	Item	Update	Actions & Recommendations	Priority 1= High 2=Low	Who
<b>7.</b>	<b>Priority One Schemes</b>				
	CATG agreed that once work orders have been placed for Priority One schemes a full entry is not required on Action Tracker: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• A brief summary will be retained</li> <li>• The entry will be “greyed out” to indicate that it is in progress and no further discussion is required at the CATG meeting</li> <li>• MR will provide updates</li> <li>• The item will be removed once the scheme has been implemented</li> </ul>				
<b>7.1.</b>	<p><b>4884</b> – Behind Redlands shops</p> <p><b>5262</b> - 25a High Street, The Starlings Sutton Benger SN15 4RQ</p> <p><b>5465</b> - Junction between Eastern Avenue and Villiers Close</p> <p><b>5466</b> - Junction of Ryan Avenue and Chamberlain Rd</p> <p><b>5467</b> - Junction of Ryan Avenue and Culverwell Rd</p> <p><b>5468</b> - Junction of Meadow Close and Ryan Avenue</p> <p><b>5484</b> Greenway Lane near St Pauls Primary School</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Dropped kerbs exercise 2017. As in previous years, requests for dropped kerbs identified as priorities for the community area have been grouped together</li> <li>• The costs for the seven sites is as follows:               <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ CATG £7,275</li> <li>○ Chippenham Town Council £2,125</li> <li>○ Sutton Benger Parish Council £300</li> <li>○ Total cost £9,700</li> </ul> </li> <li>• 4-Sep-17 Chippenham Area Board approved the seven sites identified as priorities and allocated £7,275 - funding conditional upon contributions of               <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ £2,125 from Chippenham Town Council</li> <li>○ £300 Sutton Benger Parish Council</li> </ul> </li> <li>• MR confirms all works complete</li> </ul>	<p><b>CATG recommendation – Chippenham Area Board to note works completed</b></p>	<b>1</b>	<b>MR</b>

	Item	Update	Actions & Recommendations	Priority 1= High 2=Low	Who
7.2.	<p><b>3013</b>  <b>Blackthorn Mews / Canal Road / Lodge Road</b>  Upgrade pedestrian crossing</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Scheme supported by Chippenham Town Council on 14 October 2013</li> <li>• Pedestrian Count identified 791 individuals crossing to access Kings Lodge School: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Movements in the area have increased due to the Rise Trust building</li> <li>○ Poor visibility due to parked vehicles</li> </ul> </li> <li>• 6-Oct-16 Town Council Planning, Environment &amp; Transport Committee agreed to contribute £2,000 towards the cost of this scheme</li> <li>• Considered by CATG 7-Feb-17. Agreed to recommend a bid to Substantive Scheme 2017-18 supported by a contribution of approximately £5,000</li> <li>• 13<sup>th</sup> March 2017, Chippenham Area Board agreed recommendations: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Indicative costs £22,800 noted</li> <li>○ To allocate £5,000 from CATG funds, conditional upon the contribution of £2,000 from Chippenham Town Council</li> </ul> </li> <li>• 21-Sep-17 submitted to Substantive Scheme.</li> <li>• Bid to Substantive Scheme successful.</li> <li>• MR confirms Advert – 1st February 2018 end of objection – 26th February 2018.</li> <li>• Implementation summer 2018</li> </ul>	<p><b>CATG recommendation – Chippenham Area Board to note update</b></p>	1	MR SD VW

	Item	Update	Actions & Recommendations	Priority 1= High 2=Low	Who
7.3.	<p><b>3885 Plough Lane, Kington Langley</b></p> <p>Extend kerbing Request to extend the kerbing that starts at the school and finishes at the Chapel - extend to the entrance of the Stables in Plough Lane, Kington Langley</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Requested by Parish Council.</li> <li>• MR carried out site visit, specialist materials are required.</li> <li>• Cost estimate of £21,039.43. High cost due to specified natural granite kerb and large number of unknowns regarding drainage.MR has identified an alternative option using concrete product £17,500</li> <li>• Parish Council has discussed and agreed in principle contribution of £2,500</li> <li>• MR advised indicative costs £17,000</li> <li>• Considered by CATG 7-Feb-17. Agreed to recommend a bid to Substantive Scheme 2017-18 supported by a contribution of approximately £5,000</li> <li>• 13<sup>th</sup> March 2017, Chippenham Area Board agreed recommendations: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Indicative costs £17,000 noted</li> <li>○ To allocate £5,000 from CATG funds, conditional upon the contribution of £2,500 from Kington Langley Parish Council</li> </ul> </li> <li>• 21-Sep-17 submitted to Substantive Scheme.</li> <li>• Bid to Substantive Scheme successful. (budget £20,000)</li> <li>• MR confirms - topographical survey received. Drainage survey undertaken. CATG monies of £5,000 to be spent in 2017/18. Design work to commence in due course. Programme to be agreed.</li> </ul>	<p><b>CATG recommendation – Chippenham Area Board to note update</b></p>	1	MR SD VW

	Item	Update	Actions & Recommendations	Priority 1= High 2=Low	Who
7.4.	<b>4764 Level surface at bus stop opposite the Star Inn, The Street Hullavington</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 12-Dec-16 CATG reconsidered this request. MD and MR have visited the site and support the request. CATG agreed it was Priority 1. Cost approximately £5,000</li> <li>• CATG noted that works on the highway require 10 million liability before work may commence.</li> <li>• Costs increased from £4,700 to £6404.18 as a result of bank retention works.</li> <li>• New funding contributions are therefore: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• CATG £4,803 (increase of £1,278)</li> <li>• Parish £1,601 (increase of £426)</li> </ul> </li> <li>• CATG agreed that additional costs should be recommended to the Area Board conditional upon a contribution of £1,601 from Hullavington Parish Council</li> <li>• Allocation of additional funding agreed by Chippenham Area Board 4-Sep-17 conditional upon an increased contribution from Hullavington Parish Council.</li> <li>• Hullavington Parish Council has agreed contribution of £1,601</li> <li>• Completed January 2018.</li> <li>• Final account of £5904.07. PC contribution reduced to £1476.02.</li> </ul>	<b>CATG recommendation – Chippenham Area Board to note works completed</b>		

	Item	Update	Actions & Recommendations	Priority 1= High 2=Low	Who
7.5.	<p><a href="#">4704</a> - Zebra Crossing Wedmore Avenue and Malmesbury Road</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Request: <i>Zebra crossings required for access to John Coles park and schools as currently lethal on Wedmore Avenue and Malmesbury Road. These access points are used by secondary school children to access school during rush hour when cars are rushing. Also used by people accessing the park both are on blind bends and are lethal it is too dangerous making the park sometimes inaccessible I often see parents crossing the road one child at a time this is a lovely facility needing to be more accessible by foot.</i></li> <li>Town Council considered on 3-Aug-17: Recommend that a pedestrian survey is carried out and agrees a contribution of £200 (25% of the cost advised)</li> <li>2-Oct-17 Telecon with requestor to establish which crossing was felt to be the highest priority. Requestor stated that both were important and she would like both surveyed.</li> <li>CATG considered requestors comments. It was agreed that one pedestrian survey should take place on Malmesbury Road; the CATG agreed that less pedestrians cross Wedmore Avenue</li> <li>6-Nov-17 Chippenham Area Board agreed recommendation to designate as Priority One and funding allocation of £600, for a pedestrian survey assessment to be carried out on Malmesbury Road, conditional upon a contribution of £200 from Town Council.</li> </ul>	<p><b>CATG recommendation – Chippenham Area Board to allocate increased contribution of £1,125 towards the pedestrian survey conditional upon an increased contribution of £375 from Chippenham Town Council.</b></p>	1	MR

	Item	Update	Actions & Recommendations	Priority 1= High 2=Low	Who
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• MR advises that formal quotes have come back with the cheapest at £1500.</li> <li>• This issue is subject to an online petition <a href="https://www.change.org/p/wiltshire-council-add-safety-measures-to-dangerous-chippenham-crossing">https://www.change.org/p/wiltshire-council-add-safety-measures-to-dangerous-chippenham-crossing</a></li> <li>• MR advised that the number of pedestrians crossing must be confirmed in order to establish whether this area will reach the threshold for consideration of a formal crossing.</li> <li>• CATG agreed that an increase in funding allocation to £1125 was necessary, but should be conditional upon increased contribution of £375 from Chippenham Town Council</li> </ul>			

	Item	Update	Actions & Recommendations	Priority 1= High 2=Low	Who
7.6.	<a href="#">5159</a> - Danger to pedestrians and property blind bend London House Corner Hullavington	<p>Correspondent requests:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>Some speed restriction</i></li> <li>• <i>Warning of blind bend the continuation of the kerb a warning sign against the building improve the camber</i></li> <li>• Hullavington Parish Council would like to be informed of the potential solutions to this issue</li> <li>• 13-Sep-17 Parish Council considered solutions provided by MR and confirm Parish Council would support: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Road Narrow Signs £350</li> <li>○ Road Markers £600</li> <li>○ Extend existing kerb (double) £2000</li> <li>○ Total cost £2,950</li> <li>○ Parish Council contribution £737.50</li> </ul> </li> <li>• 6-Nov-17 Chippenham Area Board agreed CATG recommendations to: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Designate as Priority One</li> <li>○ Allocate funding £2,212.50 conditional upon a contribution of £737.50 from Hullavington Parish Council.</li> </ul> </li> <li>• MR confirms that design work to commence. Implementation summer 2018.</li> </ul>	<b>CATG recommendation – Chippenham Area Board to note update</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>MR</b>

	Item	Update	Actions & Recommendations	Priority 1= High 2=Low	Who
7.7.	<a href="#">5311</a> - Size and placement of No Through Road sign St Mary Street	<p>Correspondent requests: <i>The simple and most cost-effective answer is to erect a larger sign and site it in a more prominent position.</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Considered by Chippenham Town Council 3-Aug-17: The Town Council understands that CATG is repositioning the sign.</li> <li>• The sign is not being repositioned, the proposal is to add “No Through Road” to the Street Name Plate</li> <li>• CATG advised that a “sign decluttering exercise” was undertaken approximately two years ago and the No Through Road sign was removed as part of this exercise</li> <li>• Highways recommendation is that: “No Through Road” is added to the Street Name Plate. Cost approximately £400 therefore a contribution of £100 is required from Chippenham Town Council</li> <li>• 6-Nov-17 Chippenham Area Board agreed This scheme is designated as a Priority One and Funding allocation of £300 conditional upon a contribution of £100 from Chippenham Town Council.</li> <li>• New street nameplate ordered. Awaiting installation</li> </ul>	<b>CATG recommendation – Chippenham Area Board to note update</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>MR</b>

	Item	Update	Actions & Recommendations	Priority 1= High 2=Low	Who
7.8.	<a href="#">5348</a> - Erosion Upper Common east end Parkers Lane Kington Langley	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Parish Council requests installation of new kerbing to follow the eroded line rather than a reinstatement of the old line</li> <li>CATG agreed this was a priority and requested assessment and costs.</li> <li>MR asked to assess the site and provide costs to future CATG meeting</li> <li>6-Nov-17 Chippenham Area Board agreed recommendation to designate as a Priority One scheme for the Chippenham Community Area. £2000 allocated dependent on 25% contribution of £500 from Kington Langley PC</li> <li>MR confirms works to be implemented at the same time as issue 3885.</li> </ul>	<b>CATG recommendation – Chippenham Area Board to note update</b>	1	MR
7.9.	<a href="#">5329</a> – Inconsiderate parking blocking access to private driveway Allington Way	<p>Request: <i>A white line across my property to stop parking across my drive</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>3-Aug-17 Town Council confirm support Highways installing an 'H' bar marking.</li> <li>CATG agreed that obstruction of the highway is a matter for the police</li> <li>CATG informed there was no identified budget for this lining work</li> <li>MR advised that this and other similar requests can be added to the Ad-hoc lining list when a team is in the area</li> <li>CATG agreed that an allocation of £500 should be made to address this request and others (see 5524 &amp; 5655)</li> </ul>	<b>CATG recommendation – Chippenham Area Board to allocate £500 to cover the cost of Ad-hoc lining works for H- Bars and SLOW markings (see issues 5329, 5524 &amp; 5655)</b>	1	MR

	Item	Update	Actions & Recommendations	Priority 1= High 2=Low	Who
7.10.	<a href="#">5524</a> - Inconsiderate parking causing obstruction to driveway	<p>Request: <i>Lines placed so that the confrontation with neighbours stops.</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Considered by Town Council 3-Aug-17: Recommend this is referred to the Police</li> <li>• CATG agreed that obstruction of the highway is a matter for the police</li> <li>• CATG informed there was no identified budget for this lining work</li> <li>• MR advised that this and other similar requests can be added to the Ad-hoc lining list when a team is in the area</li> <li>• CATG agreed that an allocation of £500 should be made to address this request and others (see 5329 &amp; 5655)</li> </ul>	<b>CATG recommendation – Chippenham Area Board to allocate £500 to cover the cost of Ad-hoc lining works for H- Bars and SLOW markings (see issues 5329, 5524 &amp; 5655)</b>	1	MR
7.11.	<a href="#">5655</a> - Speed of traffic A420 Prestgrove North Wraxall	<p>Metro Count results (60mph Speed Limit) East of Old Coach Road</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The survey was carried out between 01/11/2017 and 11/11/2017. A total of 48792 vehicles were checked: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ The 85<sup>th</sup> percentile was 62.2mph</li> <li>○ The average speed was 55.2mph</li> </ul> </li> <li>• Parish Council support “SLOW” marking on the road dependent upon cost.</li> <li>• MR suggests this work is added to ad-hoc lining to keep cost to £35</li> <li>• Parish Council support addition to ad-hoc list</li> <li>• CATG agreed that an allocation of £500 should be made to address this request and others (see 5329 &amp; 5524)</li> </ul>	<b>CATG recommendation – Chippenham Area Board to allocate £500 to cover the cost of Ad-hoc lining works for H- Bars and SLOW markings (see issues 5329, 5524 &amp; 5655)</b>	1	MR

	Item	Update	Actions & Recommendations	Priority 1= High 2=Low	Who
7.12.	<a href="#">5845</a> - improved street name signage required, Wessex Road.	<p>Request: <i>A clearer street sign showing where Wessex Close is or leading to Wessex Close</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Considered by Town Council PET Committee 25-Jan-18: This issue is deferred pending a report to PET on 15 February 2018 by the Deputy Chief Executive on signage in the Town.</li> <li>15-Feb-18 Town Councillors agreed to support MRs recommendation to change the street nameplate at the eastern end to read Wessex Road (leading to Wessex Close &amp; Saxon Street) and agreed a contribution of £62.50 being 25% of the total cost of £250</li> <li>CATG agreed to elevate to Priority One and recommend allocation of £187.50 conditional upon a contribution of £62.50 from Chippenham Town Council.</li> </ul> <p><a href="https://www.google.co.uk/maps/place/Wessex+Cl.">https://www.google.co.uk/maps/place/Wessex+Cl.</a></p>	<b>CATG recommendation – Chippenham Area Board to allocate £187.50 towards the new sign conditional upon a contribution of £62.50 from Chippenham Town Council.</b>	1	MR

	Item	Update	Actions & Recommendations	Priority 1= High 2=Low	Who
<b>8.</b>	<b>Priority Two/Pending Schemes</b>				
<b>8.1.</b>	<p><a href="#">4052 B4039 Burton Village</a></p> <p>Concerns relating to speeding traffic through Village. Request for traffic calming including VAS, coloured surfacing, additional signing and road markings.</p>	<p>17-Aug-15 Nettleton Parish Council confirms support for this request</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Metro Count results 6-Jun-16:</li> <li>○ The Street (near Pear Tree House) (30mph limit) 85th percentile = 39.4mph</li> <li>○ Mean = 33.7mph</li> <li>○ B4039 Hillside (40mph limit)</li> <li>○ 85th percentile = 47.2mph</li> <li>○ Mean = 40.7mph</li> <li>○ C161 Near junction of Toll Down Way (30mph limit)</li> <li>○ 85th percentile = 32.0mph</li> <li>○ Mean = 25.9mph</li> </ul> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● CATG noted Speedwatch in operation here</li> <li>● MR is awaiting contact from Nettleton Parish Council. Issue remains 'on hold'</li> </ul>		<b>2</b>	<b>MR</b>
<b>8.2.</b>	<p><a href="#">5263</a> - Lack of parking restrictions Frogwell</p>	<p>Request: <i>I would recommend a 20-mph speed limit is applied to Derriads Lane main Frogwell entrance Frogwell and Lordsmead. I would recommend zig-zag lines direct in from of the entrance gate with a no parking restriction. This would aid visibility when crossing.</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● Considered by Town Council 3-Aug-17: The Town Council is aware that a WR1 form has been completed for Lords Mead and recommends that Frogwell be looked at in conjunction with this form and a site visit arranged to look at the whole area.</li> </ul>	<b>CATG recommendation – Chippenham Area Board to note update</b>	<b>N/A</b>	

	Item	Update	Actions & Recommendations	Priority 1= High 2=Low	Who
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>CATG understand that the school will consider measures under the Taking Action on School Journeys Scheme (TAOSJ)</li> <li>No further action for CATG at this time.</li> </ul>			
8.3.	<a href="#">5335</a> - Speed limit B4039 Upper Castle Combe	<p>Correspondent requests: <i>The speed limit needs reducing down to 40mph BEFORE entry into Upper Castle Combe to make pulling out of the junction safer. It goes down to 40mph 400 yards further up the road so this just needs moving back.</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Parish Council considered 20<sup>th</sup> July 2017 and agreed to support, dependent upon the size of the financial contribution required</li> <li>CATG informed that this road was reviewed in 2010; no major changes since this time. An assessment would cost approximately £2,500 and in the absence of any change in circumstances, the conclusion will be that 40mph is appropriate</li> <li>CATG requested Highways consider any other measures that might be taken to address the correspondent's concern</li> <li>Highways Officers advise that the extension of 40mph from current location not feasible. Terminal point correctly located. It is suggested that the Parish may wish to consider installing Village Gates. A new Community Issue will need to be raised if the Parish Council wish to pursue this option.</li> <li>No further action for CATG at this time.</li> </ul>	<b>CATG recommendation – Chippenham Area Board to note update</b>	2	MR

	Item	Update	Actions & Recommendations	Priority 1= High 2=Low	Who
8.4.	<p><a href="#">5343</a> - Eastern entry speed limit B4069 Christian Malford</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Parish Council requests existing 40mph speed restriction to be changed to a 30mph speed restriction</li> <li>• MR advised county wide review of speed limits on all A and B class roads took place in 2009/10. All sites were assessed against DfT Circular 01/13 and TAL 01/04</li> <li>• MR also advised that a further review can only take place if there has been a significant change in circumstances since 2009. In his opinion an independent review would arrive at the same conclusion as the 2009 review i.e. that 40mph is the appropriate speed at this location.</li> <li>• Fee is £2,500 for an independent review and Cabinet Member approval would be needed if CATG wish to proceed with a review.</li> <li>• Suggested alternative solutions: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Install Village Gateways</li> <li>○ Install additional Village signage</li> </ul> </li> <li>• Richard Powell (RP) to report back to Parish Council and advise CATG</li> <li>• CATG agreed that if the Parish Council wishes to pursue the installation of Village Gates or additional Village signage, a new Community Issue will need to be raised.</li> <li>• No further action for CATG at this time</li> </ul>	<p><b>CATG recommendation – Chippenham Area Board to note update</b></p>	2	MR

	Item	Update	Actions & Recommendations	Priority 1= High 2=Low	Who
8.5.	<a href="#">5489</a> – Vehicles driving across green open space Derriads Lane, Chippenham	<p>Request:</p> <p><i>There is one bollard in situ at the moment it needs 2 more to stop the vans bumping up the kerb to access the open space or a galvanised railing to stop vehicles.</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>MR suggests some post and rail fencing would be a quick and easy way to resolve this issue rather than another bollard. However, we'd have to be mindful of the need to maintain access for grass cutting</li> <li>Considered by Chippenham Town Council 3-Aug-17: Support 2 additional bollards.</li> <li>CATG discussed and consider that soft landscaping may assist</li> <li>MR &amp; PB advise that options are low level fencing (£500) or installation of two additional bollards (£875). Alternatively, there may be two bollards available in store though they are unlikely to match.</li> <li>CATG agreed that the installation of two recycled bollards should be investigated.</li> </ul>	<p><b>PB &amp; MR to liaise to secure 2 bollards. No allocation at this stage until costs are established</b></p> <p><b>CATG recommendation – Chippenham Area Board to note update</b></p>	2	MR
8.6.	<a href="#">5622</a> - Pedestrian Crossing between Webbington Way Canal Road	<p>Request: <i>Pewsham Way between Webbington Way roundabout and Canal Road roundabout. By the car park to Westmead open fields. A crossing is needed to facilitate users especially children to cross this road safely. This is a fast road and an accident waiting to happen.</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The A4 Pewsham Way is 50mph road within a semi-rural setting. Pedestrians cross the road to access the fields and Avon Valley walk on the western side.</li> </ul>	<p><b>CATG recommendation – Chippenham Area Board to note that no further action can be taken at this time.</b></p>	2	MR

	Item	Update	Actions & Recommendations	Priority 1= High 2=Low	Who
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Overall crossing numbers are likely to be relatively low and somewhat sporadic in nature. It is <u>extremely</u> unlikely the required minimum threshold of '50 pedestrian per hour over the 4 busiest hours' could be achieved and this would rule out consideration of a formal crossing facility (such as a signalised or controlled crossing)</li> <li>• A pedestrian count will be required before any assessment is undertaken. Cost £800.</li> <li>• A pedestrian refuge island could be considered if sufficient carriageway width was available, however they are not best suited to 40mph and above</li> <li>• NB 'Pedestrians crossing' warning signs were installed in both directions and paid for by the CATG back in 2013 along the length in question.</li> <li>• Site visit undertaken. Site not suitable for controlled crossing facility due to speed limit. Number of pedestrians likely to be too low to meet threshold of average 50 pedestrians over 4 core hours.</li> <li>• Carriageway width 7.4m. Refuge island (min 2.0m) not feasible without extensive widening work.</li> <li>• CATG considered officer's comments and agreed that no further action can be taken on this occasion.</li> </ul>			

	Item	Update	Actions & Recommendations	Priority 1= High 2=Low	Who
8.7.	<a href="#">5645</a> – HGVs using Pewsham Estate for driver training	<p>Request from Chippenham Town Council: <i>Pewsham Ward Members have received complaints from residents regarding training lorries of 7.5 tonne or over entering Pewsham Estate.</i></p> <p><i>Residents feel that this presents a safety risk and given that it is a residential estate a weight limit should be imposed with the exception of delivery vehicles and buses.</i></p> <p><i>The Mainstream Group who organise Large Good Vehicle LGV training on behalf of the MOD use the Pewsham Estate as part of their training route from Lyneham. Other companies use Pewsham for training and it is also used by the Ministry of Transport for tests. This results in a high number of lorries using the estate each day twenty plus on some days. There are also many similar residential estates in Wiltshire which has this similar weight limit.</i></p> <p><i>The Town Councils Planning Environment Transport (PET) Committee invited The Mainstream Group to present to the Committee</i></p> <p><i>A report was taken to the PET Committee on 24 August 2017 report available upon request and it was agreed that a request be submitted to Wiltshire Council for a 7.5 tonne weight limit to be imposed on the Pewsham Estate with the exception of delivery vehicles and buses. The Town Council requests that this is forwarded to CATG for consideration.</i></p> <p><b>Town Council request: A 7.5 tonne weight limit imposed on the Pewsham Estate with the exception of delivery vehicles and buses</b></p>	<p><b>SD to write to Mainstream to raise concerns</b></p> <p><b>SD to update next CATG meeting</b></p>	2	SD

	Item	Update	Actions & Recommendations	Priority 1= High 2=Low	Who
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• AJ Advised that representatives from the Military Training Scheme made a presentation to the Town Council in early 2017 and that it was well received</li> <li>• SD advised CATG that as the roads are public highway the vehicles are entitled to use them</li> <li>• SD requested sight of the presentation made to Chippenham Town Council. AJ to provide copy.</li> <li>• SD to assess the situation and advise CATG at the next meeting of the options available</li> <li>• SD hasn't received a copy of the presentation made to Chippenham Town Council so was unable to comment on it.</li> <li>• SD advised that Chippenham CATG could if they wish submit this area as one of the two suggestions for the Freight Assessment Exercise 2018/19, although he anticipates that it would be unlikely to be successful. He did point out that there is no weight limit for large vehicles that are not HGVs</li> <li>• It was reported that traffic movements have taken place during school drop off and collection times despite assurances that this wouldn't happen.</li> <li>• CATG asked SD to write to Mainstream Group to highlight concern about safety of school children.</li> </ul>			

	Item	Update	Actions & Recommendations	Priority 1= High 2=Low	Who
8.8.	<a href="#">5660</a> - Review of traffic management measures Market Cross Castle Combe	<p>Requested by Castle Combe Parish Council: <i>Review of possible traffic engineering measures in the location of the Market Cross to examine potential to reduce risk of collisions. Prior discussions have been held with Highways Bill Parks who has recommended that CATG would be the appropriate initial avenue.</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• CATG agreed that a site visit and liaison with the Parish Council is required to clarify expectations</li> <li>• Parish Council confirms they wish to hold any further action for the time being due to budget constraints</li> </ul>	<b>CATG recommendation – Chippenham Area Board to note update</b>	2	MR
8.9.	<a href="#">5734</a> - Emergency vehicles unable to park at front of Croft Court Residential Care Home	<p>Request: <i>Yellow hatch lines to at least half of the layby</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 3-Feb-18 referred to Chippenham Town Council for comment.</li> <li>• MR advises approx. costs: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Legal Order required £2,500</li> <li>• Hatch markings £500</li> </ul> </li> <li>• Costs can be reduced considerably by considering this request and issue number <b>6063</b> at the same time and if supported, the legal order could cover both schemes</li> <li>• Awaiting response from Town Council</li> </ul> <p><a href="https://www.google.co.uk/maps/Croft court">https://www.google.co.uk/maps/Croft court</a></p>		2	

	Item	Update	Actions & Recommendations	Priority 1= High 2=Low	Who
8.10.	<a href="#">5753</a> - Replace informal crossing points with zebra crossings Queens Crescent	<p>Division Councillor requests: <i>I would like to see a study performed to see whether 2 x Zebra crossing would be appropriate for Queens Crescent. Can we perform the study when there is peak School time children crossing?</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Considered by Town Council 25-Jan-18 - Town Council supports this request for an up to date survey and agrees to contribute £250 towards the cost (25%). If possible, the survey should also monitor speeds.</li> <li>• CATG noted petition had been received.</li> <li>• MR advises that a formal assessment is required. A survey x 2 sites = £800.00</li> <li>• CATG noted that Queens Crescent School has updated its travel plan but did not mention any problems with crossings</li> <li>• CATG also noted that a 20mph limit has been approved as part of the travel plan and agreed that the impact of this should be monitored</li> <li>• It was proposed that the survey costs should be covered as follows: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ £400 CATG</li> <li>○ £200 Town Council</li> <li>○ £200 School</li> </ul> </li> </ul> <p><a href="https://www.google.co.uk/maps/Queens_Crescent">https://www.google.co.uk/maps/Queens Crescent</a></p>	<p><b>CATG recommendation – Chippenham Area Board to allocate £400 towards the cost of a survey conditional upon contributions of £200 from Chippenham Town Council and £200 from Queens Crescent School.</b></p>	2	MR

	Item	Update	Actions & Recommendations	Priority 1= High 2=Low	Who
8.11.	<a href="#">5825</a> – lack of footway Turnpike Cottage to corner of Cuttle Lane Biddestone	Parish Council requests: <i>Road surface prepared to slow vehicles down and a marked pavement/footway from Turnpike Cottage to the end of Cuttle Lane introduced.</i> Further details available in a document prepared by the Parish Council <a href="https://www.google.co.uk/maps/Biddestone">https://www.google.co.uk/maps/Biddestone</a>	<b>MR to visit, assess and report back to CATG</b>	2	MR
8.12.	<a href="#">5826</a> - Lack of footway Biddestone Arms to the Village Green	Parish Council requests: <i>Installation of a marked footway</i>  Further details available in a document prepared by the Parish Council <a href="https://www.google.co.uk/maps/Biddestone Arms">https://www.google.co.uk/maps/Biddestone Arms</a>	<b>MR to visit, assess and report back to CATG</b>	2	MR
8.13.	<a href="#">5827</a> – Installation of four sets of White Gates	Parish Council requests: <i>Installation of white gates:</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 1 pair from A420 into village</li> <li>• 1 pair from Corsham into village</li> <li>• 1 pair Hartham into village</li> <li>• 1 pair from Giddea Hall into village</li> </ul> <i>To slow down traffic.</i> Further details available in a document prepared by the Parish Council <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Cost of gate approx. £1000 each installed.</li> <li>• AW confirmed Parish Council were giving further consideration to the white gates</li> </ul>	<b>AW to discuss with Parish Council and advise next CATG meeting</b>	2	AW

	Item	Update	Actions & Recommendations	Priority 1= High 2=Low	Who
8.14.	<a href="#">5886</a> - Replace advisory crossing point near St Peters School with zebra crossing	<p>Request: <i>The church and school community have been asked to voice their concerns to Wiltshire Council to replace the advisory crossing with a pelican crossing. Hopefully you will receive enough support to action this request</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Referred to Chippenham Town Council for comment 3-Feb-18.</li> <li>CATG advised that a pedestrian count will be needed</li> </ul> <p><a href="https://www.google.co.uk/maps/Frogwell">https://www.google.co.uk/maps/Frogwell</a></p>		2	
8.15.	<a href="#">5937</a> Reduce speed limit on B4039	<p>Request from Yatton Keynell Parish Council: <i>"Request reduction in speed limit on B4039"</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>MR advises assessment required.</li> </ul> <p><a href="https://www.google.co.uk/maps/Yatton Keynell">https://www.google.co.uk/maps/Yatton Keynell</a></p>	<b>MR to assess and advise next CATG meeting</b>	2	MR
8.16.	<a href="#">5942</a> - Vehicles misdirected in to The Cloisters by sat nav. causing damage and disturbance	<p>Request: <i>"Some form of sign to prevent drivers getting into the position where they cannot continue."</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Highways advise there are two options: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>No through road sign – cost £200 approx</li> <li>New Street Name Plate (similar to St Mary Street) but this may not be as visible to traffic.</li> </ol> </li> <li>Referred to Chippenham Town Council for comment 7-Feb-18</li> </ul> <p><a href="https://www.google.co.uk/maps/Cloisters">https://www.google.co.uk/maps/Cloisters</a></p>		2	

	Item	Update	Actions & Recommendations	Priority 1= High 2=Low	Who
8.17.	<a href="#">5948</a> - Vehicles misdirected by sat nav.	Request from Parish Council: <i>"For street name signs to be erected on the junction of The Common and the B4069"</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>MR advised cost approximately £250</li> </ul> <a href="https://www.google.co.uk/maps/Langley+Burrell">https://www.google.co.uk/maps/Langley Burrell</a>	<b>MR to assess and advise next CATG meeting</b>	2	MR
8.18.	<a href="#">6023</a> - Speed of traffic in Littleton Drew	Request from Parish Council: <i>Residents of Littleton Drew have handed a petition to the Parish Council in relation to the setting of a 20mph speed limit - based on significant concern re traffic speeding through the village.</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Metro Count Request form sent to Parish Clerk 25-Jan-18. Awaiting return of form.</li> </ul> <a href="https://www.google.co.uk/maps/Littleton+Drew">https://www.google.co.uk/maps/Littleton Drew</a>		2	
8.19.	<a href="#">6063</a> – Need for safe drop off zone outside of Little Pips Nursery	Request: <i>A restricted parking zone or drop off zone outside the nursery along Lowden Avenue and Goldney Avenue to enable our parents to drop off safely and easily.</i> 3-Feb-18 Referred to Chippenham Town Council for comment <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>MR advises approx. costs: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Legal Order required £2,500</li> <li>Restricted/time limited bays £500</li> </ul> </li> <li>Costs can be reduced considerably by considering this request and issue number <b>5734</b> at the same time and if supported, the legal order could cover both schemes</li> <li>Awaiting response from Town Council</li> </ul> <a href="https://www.google.co.uk/maps/Little+Pips+Nursery">https://www.google.co.uk/maps/Little Pips Nursery</a>		2	

	Item	Update	Actions & Recommendations	Priority 1= High 2=Low	Who
9.	<b>New Requests</b>				
9.1.	<a href="#">5732</a> – Lack of marked walk way C151 Ford	<p>Parish Council requests: <i>A marked walk way installed along the C151 road from the A420 junction to beyond the bridge.</i></p> <p>MR advises formal assessment required  <a href="https://www.google.co.uk/maps">https://www.google.co.uk/maps</a></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• MR advised this location was unsuitable for a marked walkway.</li> <li>• No further action for CATG</li> </ul>	<b>CATG recommendation – Chippenham Area Board to note that no further action can be taken at this time.</b>	N/A	
9.2.	<a href="#">5746</a> – Lack of pavement Station Road west Christian Malford from the Village Shop to the Junction with Main Road.	<p>Request: <i>The installation of a pavement using coloured asphalt to be laid on the existing road surface with a white line demarcation and white painted pedestrian signs. The installation of Red Triangle Pedestrians in Road for xxx Yards Warning Signs at either end of the pavement.</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• MR advises approx. length of 220m. Red triangle road markings not prescribed.</li> <li>• CATG discussed this request and concluded it was not a priority for the area.</li> <li>• No further action for CATG at this time.  <a href="https://www.google.co.uk/maps/Christian Malford">https://www.google.co.uk/maps/Christian Malford</a></li> </ul>	<b>CATG recommendation – Chippenham Area Board to note that no further action can be taken at this time.</b>	N/A	

	Item	Update	Actions & Recommendations	Priority 1= High 2=Low	Who
9.3.	<a href="#">5920</a> - Lack of signs to indicate end of 20mph speed area	<p>Request: <i>To erect signs indicating when the 20 mph signs have ceased/are no longer required.</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Referred to Parish Council for comment 10-Feb-18</li> <li>MR confirmed that advisory 20 speed limit is in place. Terminal signs are not installed hence no requirement for end of 20 signs.</li> <li>No further action for CATG at this time.</li> </ul>	<b>CATG recommendation – Chippenham Area Board to note that no further action can be taken at this time.</b>	N/A	
10.	<b>Requests for Waiting Restrictions</b>				
10.1.	Process for dealing with Waiting Restrictions	<p>Initial requests now dealt with by Chippenham Town Council.</p> <p>No further involvement for Chippenham CATG.</p>			

	Item	Update	Actions & Recommendations	Priority 1= High 2=Low	Who
11.	<b>Pavement Improvement Exercise</b>				
	<p>Funding for improvements to Footways 2017/18 announced by the Cabinet Member for Highways</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• CATG has been provided with a budget of £11,000 for allocation to Improve Footways in the Chippenham Community Area</li> <li>• PB confirmed that he already has a list of Footways that have been surveyed and costed as follows: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Replacement/re-bed slabs <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ High Street, Chippenham</li> </ul> </li> <li>• Resurfacing: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Brookwell Close, Chippenham</li> <li>○ Brookwell Close, Ridings Mead FW</li> <li>○ Brookwell Close, West Side FW</li> <li>○ Greenway Lane, Chippenham o/s Co-op</li> <li>○ Clifton Close, Chippenham</li> <li>○ Church Road, Christian Malford</li> <li>○ John Aubrey Close, Yatton Keynell</li> <li>○ Lowden Road to A4 Rowden, Chippenham</li> <li>○ St Margarets Gardens to A4 Rowden, Chippenham</li> </ul> </li> </ul> </li> <li>• PB confirmed all of the above completed</li> <li>• As agreed by CATG, 18-Sep-17 Town &amp; Parish Councils invited to consider the above list and submit their own suggestions. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Town Council invited to submit top 10 Footways for consideration in addition to the list circulated on behalf of PB</li> <li>○ Parish Councils invited to each submit top 2 Footways for consideration in addition to list circulated on behalf of PB</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	<p><b>CATG recommendations:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b>Chippenham Area Board to note completion of resurfacing listed</b></li> <li>• <b>Chippenham Area Board to allocate funds to:</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>6098 – Brook Street (corner) £900</b></li> <li><b>6099 – Canal Road/Lodge Road where the two roads join near the roundabout £4,300</b></li> <li><b>6100 - Derriads Lane, cul-de-sac - 15,17,19,21,23 £5,513</b></li> </ul> </li> </ul>	N/A	PB

	Item	Update	Actions & Recommendations	Priority 1= High 2=Low	Who
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Cabinet Member for Highways has announced that the process for considering and approving projects will be the same as that used for the CATG funding. In summary; Proposals will be submitted to the Area Board using the online Community Issues system (in the future this will be incorporated into the My Wiltshire App)</li> <li>• Proposals that can be considered for funding: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Repairing uneven or dangerous pavements</li> <li>○ Resurfacing works</li> <li>○ Enhancing and maintaining pedestrian areas</li> </ul> </li> <li>• Requests from Town &amp; Parish Councils sent to PB – see <i>Appendix 2</i></li> <li>• CATG considered assessments and costs provided by PB and selected</li> <li>• AO asked about the remaining sites that had not been selected. PB advised that there is currently no identified budget to proceed with the remaining sites, but that he will retain the list for future consideration</li> </ul>			

	Item	Update	Actions & Recommendations	Priority 1= High 2=Low	Who
12.	AOB				
12.1.	<p><b>Section 106</b></p> <p>Proposal from NM</p>	<p>CATG considered a proposal from NM:</p> <p><b>Purpose</b> To keep Chippenham's CATG (and thereby Area Board) appraised of current (i.e. already agreed) and forthcoming (i.e. yet to be agreed) Section 106 agreements in relation to all planning applications in the Chippenham Community Area.</p> <p>This will enable CATG to identify early opportunities to request particular schemes or items of infrastructure or other investment in transport and road safety, as well as potentially combine resources for items that might be otherwise unaffordable.</p> <p><b>Implementation</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• An updated list sent to CATG 2 weeks in advance of its meetings, categorising current and forthcoming S106 opportunities as appropriate (e.g. pre-application, under negotiation, post application);</li> <li>• Officer input when appropriate, to provide greater detail on specific opportunities and the associated costs, risks and benefits.</li> </ul> <p><b>Benefits</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Improved process for incorporating local need and knowledge resulting in better outcomes;</li> <li>• Greater transparency and accountability to the local electorate;</li> </ul>	<p><b>NM to contact Chairman of the Area Board to explore opportunity for Area Board Councillors to be receive Section 106 updates in future.</b></p>	N/A	NM

	Item	Update	Actions & Recommendations	Priority 1= High 2=Low	Who
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• More effective and efficient use of public funds.</li> </ul> <p>CATG discussions included:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Chippenham Town Council receives regular Section 106 updates from Sally Canter</li> <li>• 106 is site specific; a clear link must be demonstrated between the funds source and the qualifying credentials of the recipient; this would leave little or no opportunity for CATG to influence</li> <li>• Concerns expressed about the additional administration and meeting time that updates to CATG would require</li> <li>• CATG remit is to respond to requests not to plan expenditure</li> </ul> <p>CATG agreed:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• It would not be suitable to have 106 updates at CATG meetings</li> <li>• It is important to ensure that Section 106 funds are used as effectively as possible</li> <li>• Consider updates provided directly to Area Board Councillors (perhaps at ABC meetings)</li> </ul>			

	Item	Update	Actions & Recommendations	Priority 1= High 2=Low	Who
12.2.	<b>Fix My Street</b> – Is this an official site?	Highways Officers confirmed that this is not a Wiltshire Council website, but reports to the Fix My Street are forwarded to Local Authorities			
12.3.	<b>Disabled bays</b> - What is the process?	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• New requests must be referred to Adult Care Team for assessment.</li> <li>• Renewal of lines for existing Disabled Bays must be referred to Adult Care Team for assessment (to confirm need is still current)</li> <li>• Adult Care Team will forward requests that meet the criteria to the Highways Team</li> <li>• Highways Team will bundle successful requests together in groups of 10 for implementation to ensure best use of resources/budget</li> </ul>			
12.4.	<a href="#">5829</a> - Vehicles ignoring No Entry and No Right Turn signs	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• RH noted that Town Council had declined to support action to address this issue</li> <li>• PH advised that Town Council would be happy to reconsider the matter if Highways Officers provide a recommendation, with costs, for measures that might be taken</li> </ul>	<p><b>MR to make recommendation and provide approximate costs for Chippenham Town Council</b></p> <p><b>Town Council Planning, Environment &amp; Transport (PET) Committee to reconsider</b></p>	2	MR  PET

	Item	Update	Actions & Recommendations	Priority 1= High 2=Low	Who
12.5.	<b>Membership of CATG</b>	<p>Each year, Councillors are invited to put themselves forward to represent their Area Board, Town or Parish Council on Chippenham Area Board sub groups/task groups.</p> <p>Appointments for 2018/19 will take place at the Area Board meeting on 4<sup>th</sup> June 2018.</p>	Those wishing to represent their Town/Parish on Chippenham CATG should contact VW to express their interest by 11 <sup>th</sup> May 2018.		<b>All</b>
<p><b>Date of next meeting</b>  <b>26<sup>th</sup> June 2018</b>  <b>10:00 – 12:00</b>  <b>Education Room, Wiltshire &amp; Swindon History Centre</b></p>					

#### Highways Officer – Martin Rose

#### 1. Environmental & Community Implications

- 1.1. Environmental and community implications were considered by the CATG during their deliberations. The funding of projects will contribute to the continuance and/or improvement of environmental, social and community wellbeing in the community area, the extent and specifics of which will be dependent upon the individual project.

#### 2. Financial Implications

- 2.1. All decisions must fall within the Highways funding allocated to Chippenham Area Board.
- 2.2. If funding is allocated in line with CATG recommendations outlined in this report, and all relevant 3<sup>rd</sup> party contributions are confirmed, Chippenham Area Board will have a remaining Highways funding balance of **-£910**

#### 3. Legal Implications

- 3.1. There are no specific legal implications related to this report.

#### 4. HR Implications

- 4.1. There are no specific HR implications related to this report.

#### 5. Equality and Inclusion Implications

- 5.1. The schemes recommended to the Area Board will improve road safety for all users of the highway.

#### 6. Safeguarding implications - none